## **Author Affiliation:**

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Community Medicine, North Bengal Medical College, Siliguri, West Bengal 734012, India.

<sup>2</sup>Professor & Head, Dept. of Community Medicine, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan, West Bengal 713104, India.

<sup>3</sup>Associate professor Dept. of Community Medicine, Malda Medical College, Malda, West Bengal 732101, India.

## Coressponding Author: Kaushik Ishore

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Community Medicine, North Bengal Medical College, Siliguri, West Bengal 734012, India

E-mail:ishore.kaushik@gmail.com Received on 17.08.2019

Accepted on 09.10.2019

Disability in New Leprosy Cases-Magnitude, Pattern and Associated Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Subdivision of Darjeeling District, West Bengal

Kaushik Ishore<sup>1</sup>, Dilip Kumar Das<sup>2</sup>, Sabyasachi Banerjee<sup>3</sup>

## How to cite this article:

Kaushik Ishore, Dilip Kumar Das, Sabyasachi Banerjee. Disability in New Leprosy Cases-Magnitude, Pattern and Associated Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Subdivision of Darjeeling District, West Bengal. Indian J Comm Dis. 2019;5(2):49-55.

#### Abstract

Background: Leprosy is an infectious disease which may lead to disability before, during or after treatment. Leprosy is associated with social stigma and discrimination because of disability and disfigurement and is recognized as a disease of major public health significance.

*Objectives:* To determine the extent and pattern disability among new leprosy cases, factors associated with disability, provision and utilization of disability preventive services.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study conducted in Siliguri subdivision of Darjeeling district, West Bengal among all newly diagnosed and registered leprosy cases in a reference year (April 2013- March 2014). Subjects were interviewed and examined for assessment of disability and other variables. The data were analyzed using SPSS software and chi-square, binary logistic regression were applied to test association between disability and other epidemiological correlates.

Results: Among 110 new leprosy cases studied, overall proportion of disability was 15.5%;

Grade 1 and Grade 2 disabilities were 9.1% and 6.4% respectively. Hand was the commonest site of involvement, whereas Grade 2 disability was highest in feet (3.6%). Disability status was found to be significantly associated with number of nerve involvement and history of treatment interruption. Utilization of disability preventive services was found very poor.

Conclusion: Overall proportion of disabilities as well as Grade 1 and Grade 2 disabilities among newly diagnosed leprosy cases were unacceptably high in the studied area.

**Keywords:** Darjeeling; Disabilities; Grades of disability; Leprosy cases; Preventive service.

## Introduction

Leprosy still strikes fear in the minds of people as a mutilating, contagious and incurable disease. This is mostly because of disfigurement and disability caused by the disease (Govt. of India, Guidelines on reduction of stigma and discrimination 2011)<sup>4</sup>. Among communicable diseases, leprosy is a

leading cause of permanent physical disability (WHO 2005). <sup>20</sup> Leprosy causes various degrees of disabilities and it mainly occurs in hands, feet and eyes. World Health Organization (WHO) classified disability in leprosy into two grades- Grade 1 and Grade 2 (Brandsma JW 2003).<sup>1</sup>

If not identified and managed timely and appropriately disabilities in leprosy ultimately make patients handicapped. The disease and its associated deformities are responsible for social stigma and discrimination against patients and their families in many societies. Hence, the need for early identification and management of disabilities in leprosy is emphasized.

Leprosy is a highly focused disease throughout the globe. The global elimination was achieved by the year 2001, except some endemic countries. India had a long history of effort against leprosy. The country achieved the goal of elimination at the national level in December 2005 (Govt. of India, Leprosy Situation as on August 2007).5 Present focus is on prevention of disabilities and provision of rehabilitative services for leprosy patients. Thus a new initiative has been implemented namely 'Disability Prevention and Medical Rehabilitation' (DPMR) with primary objectives to prevent disabilities and worsening of existing deformities in all needy leprosy affected persons. The services are recommended to be provided through the already existing primary, secondary and tertiary level health care infrastructures in the country (Govt. of India, Disability prevention and medical rehabilitation 2007).6

Few studies at various places and setting in different states also reported varied proportions of disabilities and associated factors (Jain PK 2011, 10 Sarkar J 2012, 16 Chavan LB 2011, 2 Jindal N 2009, 11 Kumar A 2008, 12 Thakkar S 2014) 18. However, community based evaluated information in West Bengal, particularly in Darjeeling district is lacking. The status of disability preventive services provision and utilisation (self care advice, self care kits, microcellular rubber footwear, protective sunglasses, reconstructive surgery etc.) is another important issue of present day concern, which has not yet been widely studied or documented.

Assessment of these aspects of the disease and the related programme will help the health planners and authorities to develop appropriate strategies and activities at various levels for effective provision of services. In this perspective, the present study was done with the aim of generating information regarding extent of disabilities, factors associated with disabilities and utilisation of

relevant services among new leprosy cases (Govt. of India, Guidelines for "Other-cases" under NLEP 2007)<sup>7</sup> in a subdivision of Darjeeling district, West Bengal.

#### Materials and Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among newly diagnosed (Govt. of India, Guidelines for "Other-cases" under NLEP 2007)7 and registered leprosy patients during a reference period of one year (April 2013- March 2014) in Siliguri Subdivision of Darjeeling district, West Bengal. Darjeeling district with four sub divisions (Darjeeling, Kurseong, Kalimpong and Siliguri) reported to have more than 90% leprosy cases in the Siliguri sub division. We intended to include all such cases in the study area with at least one month duration after diagnosis and initiation of multi drug therapy (MDT). Out of total 125 cases in the reference year, 15 were excluded (1 person died before assessment and 14 others could not be traced even after repeated attempts) and thus a total of 110 cases were studied.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of North Bengal Medical College. Permission and co-operation of the district health authorities were sought prior to data collection. The subjects were contacted at their respective residential places. Persons fulfilling the eligibility criteria were briefed about the purpose and nature of the study and informed consent was obtained for interview and clinical examination.

Disability assessment was done following the recommended guidelines (Govt of India, Training manual for medical officers 2013)8 in daylight maintaining privacy and dignity of the subjects. Physical examination, examination of eyes, sensory test (ST), nerve examination, voluntary muscle tests (VMT), and tests to detect early muscle weakness were done as per the prescribed procedures. 13 Female patients were examined in presence of another female preferably her own family member. Presence of disability in hands, feet and eyes was categorized according to WHO disability grades (Brandsma JW 2003).1 The highest grade given in any of the part was used as the disability grade for that patient. EHF (Eye, Hand, Feet) score i.e., sum of all the individual disability grades for two eyes, two hands and two feet was recorded. Thus for every subject EHF score ranged from 0-12. Higher number of EHF score indicates more severe form of disability according to the assessment guidelines (Govt of India, Training manual for medical officers 2013).8

Data regarding socio-demographic characteristics, other associated factors related to the registered leprosy cases as well as provision and utilisation of disability prevention and medical rehabilitation services was obtained by interview of the study subjects and review of available records.

Collected data were checked for consistency, entered in Microsoft Excel data sheet and analysed by IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Data were organized and presented using the principles of descriptive statistics. Chi-square test and binary logistic regression were applied to test association between disabilities and other epidemiological co-relates. For the dependant variable presence of disability, the predictor variables included in the analysis were: gender, religion, caste, socioeconomic status, literacy status, delay in diagnosis, interruption in taking medicines, reaction during treatment and number of skin patches and nerve involvement.

#### Results

Among 125 new leprosy cases registered in the reference year, 110 cases could be studied; the response rate being 88%. Most of the cases (63.6%, 70/110) belonged to 15–44 years age group (Table 1) with mean age of the cases 33.52 years (SD ± 14.583); indicating mostly economically productive age group being affected by the disease. Proportion of childhood cases (<15 years) was 5.5% (Table 1). Cases were noticed in higher proportion among males (62%, 68/110) than females (Table 3) with male to female ratio of 1.6:1. Cases were mostly seen among Hindus (79.1%) and majority belonged to SC category. Almost 3/4th of the cases were from rural areas, half of them were illiterate. Cases were found more in joint families (57.3%) and substantial proportion of them had either family history (32.7%) or any contact history with leprosy cases (43.6%). Majority of the cases (63.6%) were found to be multi-bacillary (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Disability in new leprosy cases according to types of cases (n = 110)

Factors	Disability		Tata1	Chat's tall to the
	Present (%)	Absent (%)	- Total	Statistical tests
Types of cases				
Pauci-bacillary	0 (0)	40 (100)	40	$\chi^2$ = 11.490, df=1, $p$ =0.001
Multi-bacillary	17 (24.3)	53 (75.7)	70	
Total	17 (15.5)	93 (84.5)	110	

## Disability: extent, pattern and co-relates

Among 110 new leprosy cases, 17 (15.5%) had overall disability (Table 1); of them 10 (9.1%) had Grade 1 and 7 (6.4%) had Grade 2 disabilities (Only 6 were registered). Combination of both types of disabilities was also observed among few subjects. It was noted that, majority of Grade 2 disabilities were in feet (3.6%), followed by in eyes (2.7%) and hands (1.8%). Grade 1 disability was found maximum in hands (9.1%), followed by 3.6% in feet (Table 2).

Further analysis showed that, among 7 leprosy cases having Grade 2 disabilities (Table 2), 5 persons had single site involvement (4.5%) and only 2 subjects had multiple site involvement. Visible deformity was noted among 7 (6.4%) subjects. Most common form of deformity was ulcer of sole (3.6%), followed by ulcer of palm (1.8%). Clawing of fingers and lid gap was found in 1 study subject (0.9%).

EHF score signifies severity of disability. 17 persons (15.4%) had EF score in between 1–9. Among them majority had score 1 (6.4%), 3.6% had score 3 and 2.7% had score 2. One person each (2.7%) had score 6, 7 and 9.

**Table 2:** Sites of involvement and grades of disability (n = 110)

		Tatal		
Site of involvement	Grade 0 N (%)	Grade 1 N (%)	Grade 2 N (%)	Total N (%)
Eye	108 (98.2)		2 (1.8)	110 (100)
Hands	102 (92.7)	6 (5.5)	2 (1.8)	110 (100)
Feet	103 (93.7)	4 (3.6)	3 (2.7)	110 (100)

None of the pauci--bacillary case (PB) was found to have any form of disability; whereas among the 70 multi-bacillary cases (MB) (63.6% of total cases), 17 (24.3%) had disability (Table 1), the distribution being statistically significant (p = 0.001). Proportion of disability was highest among leprosy cases aged 60 years or more (37.5%); no disability was noted below 15 years (Table 1). The proportion of

subjects having disabilities increased significantly with increase in age (p = 0.006). The proportion of disabilities was also found to be higher among males, illiterates, unskilled workers and persons belonging to scheduled tribe & OBC categories than their counterparts. However, binary logistic regression revealed no significant association with these socio-demographic variables (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Association of disability with socio-demographic variables (n = 110)

Variables -	Disability		T 1	Statistical tests
	Present (%)	Absent (%)	Total	AOR (95% CI)
Gender				
Male	11 (16.2)	57 (83.8)	68	1 (Referent)
Female	6 (14.3)	36 (85.7)	42	0.526 (0.107-3.135)
Religion				
Hindu	13 (14.9)	74 (85.1)	87	1 (Referent)
Muslim & Christian	4 (17.4)	19 (82.6)	23	0.281 (0.034-2.658)
Caste				
General	2 (5.1)	37 (94.9)	39	1 (Referent)
Schedule caste	7 (14.9)	40 (85.1)	47	0.061 (0.912-69.195)
Schedule Tribe	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)	13	0.152 (0.533-57.312)
Other backward class	4 (36.4)	7 (63.6)	11	0.404 (0.237-35.893)
Literacy status				
Illiterate	13 (23.6)	42 (76.4)	55	1 (Referent)
Literate	4 (7.3)	51 (92.7)	55	0.162 (0.085-1.512)
Occupation				
Housewives	3 (12.5)	21 (87.5)	24	1 (Referent)
Unskilled workers	10 (31.2)	22 (68.8)	32	0.938 (0.107-11.293)
Skilled workers*	2 (6.3)	30 (93.7)	32	0.520 (0.076-3.684)
Others#	2 (9.1)	20 (90.9)	22	0.0482 (0.237-21.041)
Total	17 (15.5)	93 (84.5)	110	110 (100)

<sup>\*</sup>Also includes - business/service #Students, unemployed and at home persons

Binary logistic regression analysis suggests that the odds of suffering from disabilities were significantly higher among leprosy cases having 2 or more nerve involvement and those who had history of treatment interruption (≥7 days). Though, multiple skin patches, time gap in diagnosis (ranges

from 1 week to 12 months with mean duration of 3.8 months), history of reaction during treatment (with MDT) were not found to be significantly associated with disabilities (Table 4). However, the regression model could explain 58.7% variation (Negelkerke R square = 0.587).

**Table 4:** Association of disability with clinico-therapeutic profiles of new leprosy cases (n = 110)

Disability		T-1.1	Statistical tests
Present (%)	Absent (%)	1 ota1	AOR (95% CI)
s)			,
2 (6.9)	27 (93.1)	29	1 (Referent)
4 (8.9)	41 (91.1)	45	0.451 (0.235-25.884)
11 (30.0)	25 (70.0)	36	0.576 (0.291-9.197)
1 (1.9)	53 (98.1)	54	1 (Referent)
16 (20.0)	40 (80.0)	56	0.156 (0.50–76.298)
	Present (%) s) 2 (6.9) 4 (8.9) 11 (30.0) 1 (1.9)	Present (%) Absent (%) s)  2 (6.9) 27 (93.1) 4 (8.9) 41 (91.1) 11 (30.0) 25 (70.0)  1 (1.9) 53 (98.1)	Present (%) Absent (%)  2 (6.9) 27 (93.1) 29 4 (8.9) 41 (91.1) 45 11 (30.0) 25 (70.0) 36  1 (1.9) 53 (98.1) 54

Variables	Disability		T-1-1	Statistical tests
	Present (%)	Absent (%)	Total	AOR (95% CI)
Number of nerve involve	vement			
Nil	1 (2.1)	47 (97.9)	48	1 (Referent)
Single	1 (4.0)	24 (96.0)	25	0.090 (0.721-93.672)
≥2	15 (40.5)	22 (59.5)	37	0.012* (2.033-342.83)
Interruption in taking o	lrugs			
Yes	13 (39.4)	20 (60.6)	33	1 (Referent)
No	4 (5.2)	73 (94.8)	77	0.001* (0.015-0.367)
Reaction during treatme	ent			
Yes	2 (28.6)	5 (71.4)	7	1 (Referent) 0.786 (0.046–10.188)
No	15 (14.6)	88 (85.4)	103	
Total	17 (15.5)	93 (84.5)	110	110 (100)

*Disability preventive and rehabilitative services:* 

Further assessment of the relevant service provision revealed, among the 17 study subjects having disabilities, only 6 (35.3%) had been given self care advice by the health care providers and only 3 (17.6%) of them were provided self care kits. Moreover, among 2 patients with eye disabilities, none were provided protective sunglasses. Two leprosy cases with clawing of fingers, though eligible but none of them were provided corrective splint. However, among 3 leprosy cases with Grade 2 disabilities of feet being eligible for MCR footwear; two of them were provided with MCR footwear.

## Discussion

Overall extent of disability among new leprosy cases as well as it's proportion in terms of different grades may vary from place to place and also over time. The comparative discussion of the findings of the present study with that of other evidences clearly supports this.

Various studies in India (Jain PK 2011,<sup>10</sup> Sarkar J 2012,<sup>16</sup> Chavan LB 2011,<sup>2</sup> Jindal N 2009,<sup>11</sup> Kumar A 2008,<sup>12</sup> Thakkar S 2014<sup>18</sup>) and across the world (Withington SG 2005,<sup>19</sup> Rad F 2007,<sup>15</sup> Pimentel MIF 2004,<sup>14</sup> Noor SM 2010,<sup>13</sup> Soomro FR 2008,<sup>17</sup> Entezarmahdi R 2014<sup>5</sup>) also showed different proportion of disabilities among new leprosy cases. In India, some previous studies reported various proportions of disabilities ranging from 20.1% to 62.6%.

Extent of disability among new leprosy cases in the area of Darjeeling was found to be substantially high in the year (April 2013-March 2014). Among 110 new leprosy cases studied in year, overall 15.5% had any disability, with 9.1% and 6.4% Grade 1 and Grade 2 disabilities respectively. In the same year, the reported proportion of overall disability among new leprosy cases in West Bengal (Govt. of India, NLEP, PIP 2012)<sup>9</sup> as a whole was much lower (5.56%) than the study area (15.5%). The proportion of Grade 2 disability in the studied area (6.4%) was also found higher than the state level (2.57%) and the overall country level (4.14%).

A study in Nagpur city reported 12.38% Grade 2 disabilities among new leprosy cases; in 2005 a study in Gwalior District showed 62.6% overall disability with 41.75% Grade 2 disabilities; another study in Bankura district of West Bengal in 2007 reported overall proportion of disability as 20.1% with 8.6% Grade 2 disabilities.

Present study also revealed that hand was the commonest site for disabilities (mainly in the form of anesthesia), whereas most common sites for visible deformity were in feet (as ulcer). Hands as the most common site for disabilities were also reported by Jain PK et al.,10 Chavan LB et al.,2 Soomro FR et al.<sup>17</sup> and Noor et al.<sup>13</sup> in their studies in various places of India and abroad. On the contrary, in a study at Bankura district of this state by Sarkar J et al. 16 noted feet as the most common site for disabilities. Assessment of these patterns of disabilities is essentially required for appropriate planning and provision of relevant services. Proportion of disabilities was more in leprosy patients who had 2 or more nerve involvement and subjects with history of treatment interruption. Similar association was also reported by Jain PK et al. Though overall proportion of disabilities among new leprosy cases has decreased, but still the proportion of Grade 2 disabilities (6.4%) in the studied area was alarmingly high, indicating probably delayed diagnosis of the cases. This

part of the programme implementation needs to be carefully addressed to have the impact of the interventions, as we are far away from the national target of 1.98% to be reached at end of 12<sup>th</sup> five year plan (Govt. of India, NLEP, PIP 2012).<sup>9</sup> Thus to further reduce the proportion of Grade 2 disabilities and to reach the desired target emphasis should be strengthened for early detection of the cases.

The present study revealed certain important issues related to 'DPMR' component of the national leprosy elimination programme. Among all the cases with disabilities, only  $1/3^{\rm rd}$  of them had been given self care advice and majority of them (76.5%) were not practicing self care because of unawareness. Very few of the eligible disabled cases were provided with self care kits and MCR footwear. Thus it can be inferred from the above findings that provision and utilization of relevant disability preventive services in the studied area, though available but not up to the desired level.

Role of some other factors like delay in diagnosis, interruption in drug consumption, reactions during treatment etc might be considered as causative reasons for disability. Though these assumptions are not supported by definite empirical evidences and case based analysis.

From the interpretation of the data it was also clear that there was lack of adequate interpersonal communication between the health care providers and the leprosy affected persons, particularly in terms of utilization of provided services.

Despite adequate safeguards the following limitations in the present study could not be avoided. Some of the registered new leprosy cases could not be contacted in spite of repeated visits. Clinical profile of the cases was solely based on the recorded information in the relevant records/registers maintained at different health facilities rather than actually assessed by the researcher; this might have led to information bias. Appropriate assessment of provision and use of DPMR services ideally requires multiple visits; which could not be done in the present study. Recall bias during interview for some variables could not be avoided.

Acknowledgements: Researchers are thankful to the Darjeeling district health authority and the study subjects for their support and cooperation during the data collection period.

*Source(s) of support:* Nil

Presentation at a meeting: Nil

# Conflicting Interest: Nil

## References

- Brandsma JW, Van Brakel WH. WHO disability grading: Operational definitions. Leprosy Review. 2003;74(4):366-73. http://www. leprosy-information.org/resource/whodisability-grading-operational-definition
- Chavan LB, Patel P. Epidemiology of disability in incident leprosy patients at supervisory urban leprosy unit in Nagpur city. National Journal of Community Medicine. 2011;2(1):119– 22
- 3. Entezarmahdi R, Majdzadeh R, Foroushani AR, et al. Inequality of Leprosy Disability in Iran, Clinical or Socio-Economic Inequality: An Extended Concentration Index Decomposition Approach. Int J Prev Med. 2014;5(4):414–23.
- Govt. of India. National Leprosy Eradication Programme. Guidelines on reduction of stigma and discrimination against persons affected by leprosy. Directorate General of Health Services, Central Leprosy Division, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. 2011. http://nlep.nic.in/
- Govt. of India. National Leprosy Eradication Programme. Leprosy Situation as on August 2007. Directorate General of Health Services, Central Leprosy Division, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. 2007. http://nlep.nic.in/data07. html
- Govt. of India. National Leprosy Eradication Programme (NLEP). Disability prevention & medical rehabilitation. Operational guidelines. Primary level care. Directorate general of health services. Ministry of health & family welfare. Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. 2007.
- 7. Govt. of India. National Leprosy Eradication Programme. Guidelines for "Other-cases" under NLEP. Directorate General of Health Services, Central Leprosy Division, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. http://nlep.nic.in/pdf/Annex-%20XI%20Other%20cases%20%20 NLEP%20 Guidelines.pdf
- 8. Govt of India. Training manual for medical officers. National Leprosy Eradication Programme. Directorate General of Health Services, Central Leprosy Division, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. 2013. http://clinicalestablishments.nic.in/WriteReadData/50.pdf
- Govt. of India. National leprosy eradication programme. Programme Implementation Plan (PIP) for 12<sup>th</sup> Plan Period 2012–13 to 2016–17. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare. Directorate General of Health Services, Central Leprosy Division 2012.

- Jain PK, Tripathi D, Singh CM, et al. A Study of High Disability Rate among Leprosy Affected Persons in Gwalior District. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2011;23(2):90–92
- 11. Jindal N, Shanker V, Tegta GR, et al. Clinicoepidemiological trends of leprosy in Himachal Pradesh: a five year study. Indian J Lepr. 2009; 81:173–79
- Kumar A, Chakma JK, Yadav VS, et al. Leprosy scenario in Agra: epidemiological observations from rapid population survey 2004–06. J Commun Dis. 2008;40(4):277–84.
- 13. Noor SM, Paracha MM, Ali Z, et al. Frequency of disabilities in newly diagnosed patients of Leprosy presenting to lady reading hospital, Peshawar. Ann Pak Inst Med Sci. 2010;6:210–13.
- 14. Pimentel MIF, Nery JAC, Borges E, *et al.* Impairments in multi-bacillary leprosy: a study from Brazil. Lepr Rev. 2004;75:143–52.
- 15. Rad F, Ghaderi E. The study of disability status of live leprosy patients in Kurdistan province of Iran. Pak J Med Sci. 2007;23(5):857-61.

- Sarkar J, Dasgupta A, Dutt D. Disability among new leprosy patients an issue of concern: An institution based study in an endemic district for leprosy in the state of West Bengal, India. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 2012;78(3):328-34
- 17. Soomro FR, Pathan GM, Abbasi P, et al. Deformity and disability index in patients of leprosy in Larkana region. Journal of Pakistan Association of Dermatologists. 2008;18:29–32.
- 18. Thakkar S, Patel SV. Clinical Profile of Leprosy Patients: A Prospective Study. Indian J Dermatol. 2014;59(2):158–162. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3969675
- 19. Withington SG. Leprosy and disability in Bangladesh. Asia Pacific Disability Rehabilitation Journal. 2005;22(2):88.
- 20. World Health Organization. Global Strategy for Further Reducing the Leprosy Burden and Sustaining Leprosy Control Activities. Plan period: 2006-2010. 2005;53,9.